Belowground Carbon Protocols

Dr. Daniel Markewitz Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources The University of Georgia Carbon Sequestration Certification June 5-6, 2007

What is belowground Carbon?

- Coarse and fine roots
- Soil Carbon
 - -Organic carbon within mineral soils
 - Also, or ganic soils (mucks, peats)
 - And, Spodosols (or ganic accumulations at depth)
 - -Forest Floor (i.e., the soil O horizon)
 - Coarse woody debris

Belowground root biomass

Longleaf pine stump 14" (35 cm) diameter at a depth of 10 ft (3 m) in the ground. Stump at ground line 24" (61 cm) diameter. George County, MS.



USFS Photo, April 1927

Belowground Soil Carbon

Coarse Woody Debris

Forest Floor (O Horizon)

Mineral Soil (A,E,B Horizon) -



Lower Coastal Plain Spodosol

Organic rich spodic horizon (Bh designation) at 12" (30 cm) depth.



Quantifying Belowground C

- Difficult for roots and soil due to visual impairment
 - (i.e., it s belowground)
- Difficult for soil carbon change because there is already a large background

Georgia Carbon Sequestration Registry

- Includes
 - Coarse roots
 - Fine roots excluded
 - Mineral soil organic carbon
 - Mineral soil includes organic soils (i.e., Histosol)
 - Excludes for est floor and coarse woody debris
- Tier I and II
 - Aboveground: With/Without Reliable Inventory
 - Belowground: Assume no inventories exist, Tier I and II distinguish between levels of complexity and cost in making estimates.

Quantifying Coarse Root C

- Tier I approach
 - Studies of tree growth physiology indicate a relatively constant ratio between above and belowground tree biomass
 - (i.e., shoot:root ratio)
 - Ratio is ~4:1 or 20% of total tree biomass is belowground

- As such Belowground coarse root carbon $(C_b) =$ Aboveground merchant able C $(C_a) \times 0.25$

$$C_{\rm b} = C_{\rm a} \times 0.25$$

And $C_a + C_b = t$ ot al mer chant able t ree C

- Participants in the GCSR will be expected to utilize the data generated from the aboveground accounting scheme for belowground estimation.
- Thus, aboveground Cregistration is required for belowground coarse root C registration.

- Tier II
 - Directly stimating belowground coarse root
 C is extremely difficult, costly, has high
 uncertainty, and lacks a standard
 procedure.
 - -No direct measures will be accepted

- Verification
 - Procedures outlined for aboveground forest carbon will be utilized for verification of belowground C
 - -No direct measures will be required for verificait on

Mineral Soil Carbon

- There is much interest and optimism in the ability of forest soils to sequester C
- This derives from
 - The large amount of soil C in forest ecosystems, and
 - The large losses (~40%) of surface soil carbon loss during conversion to agriculture that, theoretically, should be recoverable

Forest Carbon Contents

	Tulip Poplar	Loblolly Pine
	50-yr-old	34-yr-old
Component	TN	SC
	Mg ha ⁻¹	
Tree C	80	140
Forest Floor C	3	35
Soil C	80	84

Mineral Soil Closs during forest conversion to agriculture

	C concentration	Soil mass	C content	
	% change of g-C/kg soil	% change of g-soil/m ²	% change of g-C/m ²	
A horizons	-43.3	-0.6	-42.7	n=7*
A and B horizons	-36.8	-3.6	-38.1	n=7*
Entire Solum	-14.7	-9.8	-30.5	n=5
All data	-25.9	+0.2	-27.2	n=18

Davidson and Ackerman

Mineral Soil Carbon

- Despite this optimism there is uncertainty about the quantitative rate of soil carbon accumulation under afforestation or forest management
- Regardless of this uncertainty there is general agreement that during afforestation (i.e., site planted to trees after >10 yr of agriculture) soils will sequester C
- Similarly, there is general agreement that forest fertilization will lead to increased soil C sequest ration

Mineral Soil C gain during agricult ure conversion to f or est

	Years since Ag	Avg rate of change
		kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹
Old field to pine to hardwood	200	24
	120-180	45
Old field to natural pine	40-60	29
	50	282
	50-70	118
	110	59
Old field to planted pine	50	248
	70	255
	40	36
Average rate of soil C gain		122

Post and Kwon

Mineral Soil C gain with forest fertilization



Johnson and Curtis

- Tier I approach
 - Landowners that are afforesting fields that have spent >10 yr in conventional agriculture can use look-up tables to register soil C sequest ration
 - To use these tables a land owner would look up the appropriate stand type and age and multiply by the annual rate by the number of years.

- For example, if a landowner has a 5-yrold loblolly pine plant at ion and they want to estimate potential sequestration through age 15
- Look-up table 3.3.1

Table 3.3.1. Regional estimates of soil C accumulation rates for loblolly-short leaf pine stands with afforestation of land.

Age	Accumulation rate	
	Mg/acre	
0-5	0.02	
6-10	0.04	
11-15	0.09	
16-20	0.09	
21-25	0.13	
26-30	0.13	
31-35	0.13	
36-40	0.13	
41-45	0.13	
45-50	0.13	

- For this example, the landowner would use the 0-5 age rate for 1 yr, the 6-10 age rate for 5 yr, and the 11-15 age rate for 4 yr
 - Soil C sequest ration per acre f rom age 5 to 15
 = (1x0.02 Mg-C/ac) + (5x0.04 Mg-C/ac) + (4x0.09 Mg-C/ac)
 = 0.62 Mg-C/ac
- Then multiply by the number of acres

 To utilize tier I, land owners must demonstrate >10 yr of agricultural activity (i.e., tax records, aerial photos) and the appropriate forest type.

- Tier II
 - Landowners may believe their management activities have the potential to sequester soil C at rates exceeding those in tier I tables or may believe soil C sequest ration is likely in scenarios other than afforestation
 - The registry will accept ot her estimations of soil C sequestration (i.e., model estimations) but will require on the ground inventories for initial and contract termination C contents.

There is no preferred model

A diagramatic representation of the Century Model is below



Soil C Inventory

- Soil C inventory can be paired with aboveground merchantable tree C inventory but will require a separate estimate of variance (and potentially a different sampling intensity) to achieve a similar =20% estimated Allowable Error
- The table reports the average coefficient of variation for C content estimates of different forest components

Coefficient of Variation	# of studies
%	
15	18
39	23
49	7
24	17
	Coefficient of Variation % 15 39 49 24

Sample numbers required to estimate a 10 or 20% change in any forest C pool with a 95% level of confidence given a 10 to 50% CV in the estimation of that pool

Coefficient of Probability of detecting change Variation

95%

Percent Change

	<u>10%</u>	<u>20%</u>
10	4	2
30	36	9
50	100	25

- Two Methodological References from other protocols are provided in your packet
- It is assumed at present that the cost of soil C inventory greatly exceeds the income pot ential from C trading, if this situation changes so to will the GCSR protocols for soil C

A final thought...

At tempt ing to hoard as much or ganic matter as possible in the soil, like a miser hoarding gold, is not the correct answer. Organic matter functions mainly as it is decayed and destroyed. Its value lies in its dynamic nature.

William Albrecht (1938)

.. soil organic matter is far more than a potential tank for impounding excess CO_2 ; it is a relentless flow of C atoms, through a myriad of streams—some fast, some slow wending their way through the ecosystem, driving biotic processes along the way.

H.H. Janzen (2006)



Citations:

- Davidson, EA and I Ackerman. 1993. Changes in soil carbon inventories following cultivation of previously untilled soils. Biogeochemistry 20:161-193.
- Johnson, DW and PS Curtis. 2001. Effects of forest management on soil C and N storage: met a analysis. Forest Ecology and Management 140:227-238.
- Post, WM and KC Kwon. 2000. Soil carbon sequest ration and land-use change: Processes and potential. Global Change Biology 6:317-328.

This document was created with Win2PDF available at http://www.win2pdf.com. The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only. This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.